

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCI United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginis 22313-1450 www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/539,859	03/30/2000	PAUL KUPRIONAS	FIS990239US1	FIS990239US1 8359	
29505	7590 09/16/2003				
DELIO & PETERSON, LLC			EXAMINER		
121 WHITNEY AVENUE NEW HAVEN, CT 06510			KENDALL,	KENDALL, CHUCK O	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2122	7	
			DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	DATE MAILED: 09/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

# BEST AVAILABLE COPY

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		09/539,859	KUPRIONAS, PAUL			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Chuck O Kendall	2122			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine ad patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	I36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be by within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for e, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  rom the mailing date of this communication.  DNED (35 U.S.C.§ 133).			
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26	<u>June 2003</u> .				
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ Ti	nis action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
·	Claim(s) is/are pending in the application	on.				
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.					
·	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.				
l '	ion Papers					
9) 🗌	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ acce	pted or b)⊡ objected to by the E	xaminer.			
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) 🗌	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
1	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applic	eation No			
Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
14) 🔲 A	acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
a	)  The translation of the foreign language process  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ovisional application has been i	received.			
Attachmen	t(s)					
2) D Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) lal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
J.S. Patent and To PTOL-326 (R		ction Summary	Part of Paper No. 7			

Art Unit: 2122

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

This action is in response to the application filed 06/26/03
 Claims 1-20 have been examined.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-7 and 9-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbin USPN 5,138,712, in view of McGuire et al. USPN 6,493,871 B1.

Regarding claims 1 & 9, Corbin discloses installing licensed software on an end user's computer comprising: providing an end user computer having a program storage device and a unique, computer identifier distinguishing the end user computer from other computers (2:60-65, see license token); providing a network computer having access to a program storage device containing software for license to end users and a program storage device containing a database listing computer identifiers licensed to run the software(3:1-5, see license library for listing); using the network computer to contact the end user computer and determine its end user computer identifier (3:1-5, see verify license information, for determining); verifying listing of the end user computer identifier in the network computer (3:1-5, see library for database, also 5:45-65, for Data table showing ids and end user elements); downloading the software from the

Art Unit: 2122

network computer(6:40-45 for download see transmit); and installing the downloaded software on the end user computer program storage device (6:62-65, also see 7:5-10). Corbin doesn't explicitly disclose, software selected from the group consisting or programs to be executed by the end user's computer and database information. However, McGuire does disclose this feature (7:47-52, see update data and database). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine, Corbin with McGuire because, listing and selecting software from a central location for downloading as needed in a distributed architecture, makes loading updated and needed files more efficient.

Regarding claims 2 &10, the method of claim 1, wherein the unique computer identifier is selected from the group consisting of a BIOS serial number and a network adapter address (9:5-10, for address see host name and domain, for Bios serial number, see host ID).

Regarding claims 3 & 11, the method of claim 1, wherein on installation on the end user computer program storage device, the software comprises a program for execution on the end user computer (8:65-67, see item #56).

Regarding claims 4 & 12, the method of claim 1, wherein the network computer includes a plurality of different software and, prior to downloading the software, further including:

identifying to the end user computer all of the software on the network computer program storage device listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer(fig 2, see license server and library); and

sending from the end user computer to the network computer a selection of the software to be downloaded, and thereafter downloading and installing on the end user computer program storage device the selected software.

Regarding claim 5, the method of claim 4, wherein the identification to the end user computer of all the software on the network computer program storage device listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer is

Art Unit: 2122

by an executable program on a program storage device of the network computer 6:40-45 for download see transmit, also see 6:62-65, also see 7:5-10).

Regarding claim 6, the method of claim 5, wherein prior to identifying to the end user computer the software on the network computer program storage device, further including: sending to the network computer, from the end user computer, a command to run the program identifying to the end user computer the software listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer (7:25-40, see binder, and contents of license servers).

Regards to claim 7, the method of claim 6, wherein the program identifying to the end user computer the software listed as licensed by the computer identifier is not installed on the end user computer (7:24-30, 35-40).

Regards to claim 13, see reasoning in claim 1.

Regards to claim 14, see reasoning in claim 2.

Regards to claim 15, the method of claim 13 wherein the network computer includes a plurality of different software and, prior to downloading the software, further including:

identifying to the end user computer all of the software on the network computer program storage device listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer (7:24-30, 35-40); and sending from the end user computer to the network computer a selection of the software to be downloaded (7:27-33, see listing products available into binding file), and thereafter downloading and installing on the end user computer program storage device the selected software(7:40-43, also refer back to transmitting for downloading).

Regards to claim 16, the method of claim 15, wherein the identification to the end user computer all of the software on the network computer program storage device listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer is by an executable program on a program storage device of the network computer (7:24-40).

Art Unit: 2122

Regards to claim 17, the method of claim 16, wherein prior to identifying to the end user computer the software on the network computer program storage device, further including:

sending to the network computer, from the end user computer, a command to run the program identifying to the end user computer the software listed as licensed by the computer identifier of the end user computer (7:24-30, 35-40).

Regards to claim 18, see reasoning in claim 1.

Regards to claim 19, see reasoning in claim 1.

Regards to claim 20, see reasoning in claim 1.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Corbin USPN 5,138,712, in view of McGuire et al. USPN 6,493,871 B1, as applied in claim 1, and further in view of Bartholomew et al. USPN 6,202,209 B1.

Regarding claim 8, Corbin as modified by McGuire discloses all the claimed limitations as applied in claim 1. Neither Corbin nor McGuire, explicitly discloses end user computer program storage device contains a damaged version of the software to be downloaded, and wherein the installation of said software corrects the damaged software. However, Bartholomew does disclose this feature (9:10-15). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Corbin as modified by McGuire with Bartholomew to implement the instant claimed invention

Art Unit: 2122

because, diagnosing the new application code and ascertaining the integrity of the code when downloaded ensures efficient downloading, (Bartholomew, 9: 5-15).

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

### Correspondence Information

6. Any inquires concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuck O. Kendall who may be reached via telephone at (703) 308-6608. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday between 8:00 A.M. and 5:00 P.M. est.

Art Unit: 2122

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tuan Dam can be reached at (703) 305-4552.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

For facsimile (fax) send to 703-7467239 official and 703-7467240 draft

Chuck O. Kendall

Software Engineer Patent Examiner

TUAN DAM SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER